

Dear Sir/Madam,

I was initially heartened and then quickly very disappointed by the Spam Control law proposed by IDA.

First, "opting out" is truly a cop-out -- giving spammers a legitimacy that should not be there in the first place. "Opt-out" is also a frequently used tactic to verify the validity and activity of email addresses.

Second, your definition of spam is questionable. "More than 100 commercial, unsolicited messages a day; More than 1000 commercial, unsolicited messages over 30 days, etc." With a bill like this, the spamming organization or person can easily and legitimately send out 99 commercial, unsolicited messages a day; 999 commercial, unsolicited messages over 30 days, etc.

Is this proposed bill meant to fight spam or to encourage spam?

rgds,  
Tan Joo Khim